

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,298	07/29/2003		Sheldon Joseph Grywacheski	16448-US	6459	
75	590	01/21/2005		EXAMINER		
Patent Departi			MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT			
DEERE & COMPANY One John Deere Place				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Moline, IL 61	265-809	8		3671		
				DATE MAILED: 01/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/629,298	GRYWACHESKI ET AL.	GRYWACHESKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Nathan S Mammen	3671		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the polymer of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	.	
Status		•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 No	ovember 2004.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	*	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□	Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	• • •).	
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
A **o-b					
Attachmer 1) ☐ Notid	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intentiew	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,266,560 to Powell, cited by Applicant, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,296,566 to Tanis et al., cited by the examiner, or, alternatively, as being unpatentable over the Tanis '566 patent in view of the Powell '560 patent.

The Powell '560 patent discloses an agricultural harvester having an axial rotor (Fig. 2) with an axis (55) of rotation. The rotor comprises a drum having a rearward cylindrical portion (60) and a forwardly-extending frusto-conical portion (67). The rotor further includes an infeed section (25) for receiving harvested crop material and a crop processing section (generally, 63) for processing harvested crop material. The crop processing section includes at least one crop processing element (62) located on the frusto-conical portion. The crop processing element is parallel to the axis of rotation, and the infeed element (25) and the crop processing element (62) are helically oriented and helically aligned. The crop processing element (62) is a threshing element and it sweeps a cylindrical path. The infeed element (25) is a helical infeed flight. A second crop processing element (63) is located in the cylindrical portion of the drum and sweeps a cylindrical path.

The Tanis '566 patent discloses an agricultural harvester having an axial rotor (64) with an axis of rotation (A). The rotor comprises a drum (64) having a rearward cylindrical portion and a forwardly-extending frusto-conical portion (62). The forward frusto-conical portion is an infeed section having infeed element (32) comprised of a helical flight. The rotor includes a crop-processing section having crop processing elements (not shown).

What the Powell '560 patent does not disclose is that the infeed section is located on the frusto-conical portion. Instead, the Powell '560 patent utilizes a cylindrical infeed section that feeds to the frusto-conical portion. However, the Tanis '566 patent teaches that it is known in the art to provide a frusto-conical infeed section. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the agricultural harvester of the Powell '560 patent with a frusto-conical infeed as taught by the Tanis '566 patent, in order to improved the feeding of harvested crop material into the drum. See Tanis, col. 5, lines 21-35.

Alternatively, and distinctly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the agricultural harvester of the Tanis '566 patent with the crop processing elements located on the frusto-conical portion, as taught by the Powell '560 patent, in order to help disperse the crop material throughout 360 degrees of the rotor casing and to begin the threshing action. See Powell, col. 6, lines 5-9.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 14-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As stated above, the claimed invention is obvious over the Tanis and Powell patents.

While the Powell patent does not disclose a frusto-conical infeed, the Tanis does disclose this

Application/Control Number: 10/629,298 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

feature and provides motivation why an ordinary artisan would want a frusto-conical infeed.

Similarly, while the Tanis patent does not disclose providing a frusto-conical transition region with crop processing elements, the Powell patent does disclose this feature and likewise provides motivation why an ordinary artisan would want the claimed crop processing elements on a frusto-conical portion of a threshing drum.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Mammen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5959.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,298

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at (703) 308-3870. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1113.

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

NSM 1/10/05

Nathan S. Mammen